

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,095	09/05/2003	William Thomas Rogers	. 2844	
7590 06/14/2005		,	EXAMINER	
William Rogers			MATHEW, FENN C	
3614 Hunters Circle San Antonio, TX 78230			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/656,095	ROGERS, WILLIAM THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fenn C Mathew	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Se	eptember 2003.					
a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		ou in the realistic etags				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	,, 	(070 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Co						

Application/Control Number: 10/656,095

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,095 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-5 are objected to.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language too numerous to describe individually. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Claims should not include words or phrases in parentheses, in quotes, or in italics. Method claims must recite positive steps. In the instant case, claims 2-5, Applicant has claimed a method for providing a hand exerciser, but has failed to recite steps which constitute the method to be performed. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang et al. (U.S. 5,113,526). Wang teaches providing an apparatus in the form of a glove (fig. 2), which may be fitted with flexible-spring knuckle, stays (9) sheathed over individual knuckles inherently producing resistance.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,095 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

6. Claim 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaunault et al. (U.S. 6,438,759). Jaunault teaches providing a flexible, spring-like, metal hoop device sheathed beneath the glove surrounding the wrist area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams, Jr. (U.S. 5,453,064). Williams discloses a glove including stays at each digit as well as an integrally formed square-like insertion (30) situated in a palm area in order to provide resistance to flexure. Specific materials and dimensions utilized are considered matters of obvious design choice as it has been held that the skilled artisan would select materials based on their suitability for intended use. With respect to claim 2, Williams, Jr. discloses that stays of varying resistance may be utilized.
- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaunault et al. Jaunault teaches the claimed method including providing a glove made of metal alloy which inherently facilitates resistance. Janault fails to teach specifics with regards to dimension or ratio of metal utilized, however specific ratios are considered matters of design choice as it appears no inherent advantage is provided nor a specific purpose

Application/Control Number: 10/656,095

Art Unit: 3764

attained and the configuration of Jaunault would perform equally well absent

unexpected or undesired results.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Gordon

U.S. 4,781,178

Ebert

U.S. 5,628,069

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AGM fcm

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 4B.

Page 5